

ASSESSING THE IMPLICATIONS OF **BURKINA FASO'S NUCLEAR ENERGY DEAL WITH RUSSIA FOR** AFRICA'S ENERGY SOVEREIGNTY AND SUSTAINABILITY



Burkina Faso, a landlocked country in West Africa, has entered into a final nuclear energy deal with Russia, marking a significant step in its energy strategy. This partnership with Russia, formalized through agreements on nuclear energy cooperation, has garnered considerable attention both regionally and internationally.

Prior to this moment, Burkina Faso has historically faced challenges related to energy access, with a large portion of the population in rural areas lacking reliable electricity. Currently, Burkina Faso's energy mix is largely dependent on fossil fuels and hydroelectricity, with little to no contribution from nuclear power.

This research aims to assess the implications of Burkina Faso's nuclear energy deal with Russia for Africa's broader energy sovereignty and sustainability, considering economic, geopolitical, environmental, and developmental aspects.

The Nuclear Energy Partnership Between Burkina Faso and Russia

In 2021, Burkina Faso entered into a nuclear energy cooperation agreement with Russia, which has become one of the most significant international energy deals in the country's recent history. This partnership centers on the construction of a nuclear power plant, along with the establishment of the necessary infrastructure to support its operation. The agreement involves the construction of a nuclear power plant in Burkina Faso, which is expected to generate electricity to meet the country's energy demands. Russia's state-owned nuclear energy company, Rosatom, is tasked with building the plant and providing technical expertise. The agreement envisions the establishment of a facility that will supply both base-load electricity and support Burkina Faso's broader energy goals.

As part of the agreement, Russia will provide nuclear technology, including reactor designs, and will assist in the training of Burkinabe personnel to operate and maintain the plant. The technology transfer is intended to equip local engineers and operators with the necessary skills to ensure the long-term sustainability of the plant. Regarding financial arrangements, Russia is expected to provide a large portion of the capital required for the construction and operation of the nuclear facility. The terms of the deal suggest that Russia's government and state-owned entities like Rosatom will cover much of the initial investment, with Burkina Faso expected to provide co-financing or enter into long-term repayment plans. These financing structures are designed to be favorable to the host country, although they could impose long-term debt obligations on Burkina Faso, raising concerns about financial sustainability.

Burkina Faso is responsible for securing the site for the nuclear plant and ensuring that it meets international safety and regulatory standards. The government is also tasked with providing support for the necessary infrastructure, such as roads, power lines, and water supplies for the plant. On the other hand, Russia, through Rosatom, assumes primary responsibility for the construction, supply of nuclear fuel, and operational management of the plant for an initial period. Rosatom will also assist in the regulatory and safety frameworks for the facility, ensuring compliance with international nuclear safety standards. The partnership includes provisions to adhere to the highest safety standards, with Rosatom responsible for training Burkinabe staff and establishing the regulatory framework to ensure that the plant operates safely. This includes collaboration with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) to guarantee adherence to global nuclear safety norms.

The agreement outlines a timeline for the project's implementation, with construction expected to begin within a few years of the deal's finalization. The nuclear plant is projected to be operational by the late 2020s or early 2030s, depending on the pace of construction and regulatory approvals. A phased approach is expected, with the first reactors expected to come online gradually. The geopolitical implications of this deal are significant. The partnership with Russia represents a shift in Burkina Faso's foreign policy, as the country is increasingly turning to Russia for energy collaboration. Through this partnership, Russia not only strengthens its presence in West Africa, but also secures access to strategic resources and geopolitical leverage. Burkina Faso's engagement with Russia may influence its relations with Western powers, particularly the United States and the European Union, who are often critical of Russian involvement in Africa. This deal could place Burkina Faso in a delicate position, balancing relationships with traditional Western allies, while deepening ties with Russia.

The nuclear deal is not just significant for Burkina Faso, but also for Africa's energy landscape. If successful, the project could serve as a model for other African countries interested in developing nuclear energy. It may prompt further discussions about the role of nuclear power in Africa's energy future, especially as African nations seek to reduce reliance on fossil fuels and improve energy security. However, the deal also raises concerns about the potential for environmental risks and the financial burden of nuclear energy. Countries across the continent will be watching closely to see how Burkina Faso navigates these challenges and whether nuclear power can be integrated into Africa's broader energy strategy.

Key Implications of the Burkina Faso's Nuclear Energy Deal with Russia

Geopolitical an

d relationships within Africa and beyond. On one hand, it strengthens ties with Russia, a growing global power with increasing influence in Africa. On the other hand, it may strain relations with Western powers, particularly the European Union and the United States, who have expressed caution regarding Russian involvement in Africa's energy sector, due to concerns about transparency, governance, and the potential for political leverage.

Furthermore, this deal may inspire other African nations to explore nuclear energy options, thereby changing the dynamics of energy partnerships on the continent. As more African nations look to diversify their energy sources, Russia could become a dominant player in Africa's energy landscape, shifting the traditional balance of power in energy diplomacy.

Energy Sovereignty

Energy sovereignty is the ability of a nation to control its own energy resources and ensure that its energy policies align with national interests. Burkina Faso's decision to pursue nuclear energy with Russian assistance raises questions about the extent to which the country will retain control over its energy resources.

While nuclear energy could provide Burkina Faso with a source of relatively stable, low-carbon power, it also brings with it a dependency on Russia for technology, fuel, and expertise. The construction, operation, and maintenance of nuclear plants require highly specialized knowledge and foreign support, which could undermine Burkina Faso's energy sovereignty. Moreover, the long-term reliance

on Russia for nuclear fuel and technology may lock the country into unfavorable agreements, limiting its ability to diversify its energy sources in the future.

Energy Sustainability

The sustainability of nuclear energy in Burkina Faso is a contentious issue. Nuclear power offers a potential solution to the country's energy needs, especially as a low-carbon energy source in the context of climate change. However, the sustainability of nuclear energy in a region with limited infrastructure, governance capacity, and financial resources remains uncertain.

One of the main concerns regarding nuclear energy is its environmental impact. While nuclear power does not emit greenhouse gases during operation, issues related to the safe disposal of nuclear waste and the risks of accidents pose significant challenges. Burkina Faso's ability to manage these risks, especially in the context of weak governance frameworks, is critical to the long-term sustainability of the nuclear project. Furthermore, the high initial costs and long timelines for nuclear power plants raise questions about whether it is the most cost-effective and sustainable option compared to other renewable sources, such as solar, wind, and hydroelectric power.

Nuclear Energy and African Integration

Africa's energy future is increasingly seen through the lens of regional integration. The African Union's Agenda 2063, which aims to promote economic integration and sustainable development, envisions the creation of a unified energy market. Burkina Faso's nuclear energy project may provide a model for other African nations, potentially leading to greater regional cooperation in energy infrastructure and resource-sharing. However, the lack of a coordinated and continent-wide approach to nuclear energy governance could lead to uneven development and increase risks related to safety, waste management, and regulation.

CONCLUSION

Burkina Faso's nuclear energy deal with Russia represents a bold step towards addressing its energy needs, but also presents complex challenges. The deal has significant geopolitical, economic, and environmental implications that must be carefully weighed. While nuclear energy could enhance Burkina Faso's energy security, it could also undermine its energy sovereignty, especially if it results in long-term dependence on foreign expertise and technology. The sustainability of nuclear energy in Burkina Faso and across Africa remains a critical question, as other renewable energy options may offer more viable, environmentally sustainable alternatives.

For Burkina Faso and other African nations considering nuclear energy, a balanced approach that includes greater emphasis on renewable energy, robust governance frameworks, and regional cooperation will be essential to achieving true energy sovereignty and sustainability. The international community must support African countries in developing their energy sectors responsibly, ensuring that all energy choices, including nuclear, are made in a manner that benefits both current and future generations.

DISCLAIMER

This document of the referenced country is not expected to form the basis of, or be construed as standard legal advice; nor should any of its contents and representations be strictly relied upon for any activities. Electricity Lawyer (EL) will not be liable for decisions whatsoever that are made based on the contents of the document.

