





Introduction

In a dramatic turn of international economic diplomacy, U.S. President Donald Trump announced on July 7, 2025, a 10% tariff on all imports from countries aligning with the BRICS bloc (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa).

The announcement, made during the 2025 BRICS Summit in Rio de Janeiro, underscores a shift in global economic alignments and introduces new layers of geopolitical tension. While the immediate focus appears to be on trade and foreign relations, the repercussions are likely to be widespread, particularly for critical domestic sectors such as electricity.

The Nigerian power sector, already burdened by infrastructure deficits, currency volatility, and inconsistent cost-reflective tariff implementation, now faces heightened exposure to macroeconomic instability triggered by the potential reduction in export earnings and foreign capital flows. This research analysis examines how the U.S.-imposed tariff, as a function of geopolitical retaliation, could ripple through Nigeria's electricity regulatory landscape and sector financing architecture.

Geopolitical Retaliation and Electricity Sector Vulnerabilities

The intersection of foreign policy and economic governance is becoming increasingly visible in Nigeria's electricity sector. The U.S. tariff imposition, while ostensibly aimed at curbing BRICS' global influence, introduces immediate and medium-term risks for Nigeria's power industry by affecting trade, investment, and fiscal flows. The Nigerian Electricity Regulatory Commission (NERC), state electricity regulators, along with other sectoral stakeholders, now face the prospect of navigating regulatory decisions under conditions shaped by international power politics.

One of the most immediate vulnerabilities stems from Nigeria's heavy reliance on foreign exchange earnings from crude oil exports, many of which are U.S.-bound. The introduction of the 10% tariff could reduce Nigeria's export competitiveness, leading to a decline in FX reserves. This, in turn, would place pressure on the naira, increasing the local currency cost of importing power sector components such as transformers, smart meters, and gas turbines. As a result, the cost base for power generation and distribution companies would rise, prompting demands for tariff revisions under the Multi-Year Tariff Order (MYTO) framework.

Additionally, the tariff announcement sends negative signals to international investors, many of whom are already cautious, due to Nigeria's perceived regulatory risk. Investment in large-scale power infrastructure,

particularly in gas and renewable projects, often involve financing from multilateral development banks and foreign capital providers. The current situation raises Nigeria's country risk profile, which could lead to higher interest rates on debt, reduced capital inflows, and postponement of crucial investments. Such capital flight would directly impact Nigeria's generation and transmission expansion plans and slow progress towards the goals outlined in the country's Energy Transition Plan.

From a regulatory standpoint, NERC and state regulatorsmaybe placed in a difficult position, tasked with protecting consumer interests on the one hand and ensuring cost-reflective tariffs to maintain financial sustainability on the other. The compounding effect of FX volatility, higher import costs, and disrupted project pipelines may lead to unscheduled tariff reviews. These, in turn, could spark political and social pushback, particularly if public perception interprets the increases as indirect consequences of Nigeria's alignment with BRICS. This scenario necessitates a careful regulatory balancing act in the months ahead.

Implications for the Nigerian Electricity Sector

The U.S. tariff imposition, while targeting Nigeria's foreign policy direction, poses several sector-specific implications that merit urgent attention. The following are key areas of concern:

1. Tariff Instability and Consumer Affordability Challenges

With increased importation costs for power infrastructure and components, electricity distribution and generation companies may seek urgent tariff adjustments to recover their costs. This could result in higher electricity bills for end-users, including vulnerable households and small businesses. A rapid tariff review could also strain NERC's capacity and that of state regulators to uphold consumer protection mandates, while maintaining the sector's commercial viability.

2. Heightened Currency Risk and Project Delays

The expected pressure on Nigeria's foreign exchange reserves could lead to a further depreciation of the naira. Many power purchase agreements (PPAs), equipment procurement contracts, and debt servicing obligations are denominated in U.S. dollars or other foreign currencies. A weaker naira would inflate project costs, delay implementation timelines, and, in some cases, lead to contractual disputes or force majeure claims.\

3. Investor Uncertainty and Capital Flight

Foreign investors may reassess their exposure to Nigerian power projects due to rising geopolitical risk and macroeconomic instability. This could result in a slowdown of foreign direct investment (FDI), withdrawal from joint ventures, or stalled negotiations on new power generation and transmission deals. The knock-on effect is a reduction in available capital for expanding grid capacity and electrification programmes.

4. Strained Regulatory Capacity and Policy Coherence

Regulatory authorities, especially NERC, may be forced to engage in reactive policy-making to mitigate the cascading effects of tariff-induced shocks. Without robust coordination with the Ministry of Power, Ministry of Finance, and the Central Bank, regulatory interventions may lack coherence, reducing their effectiveness. The risk of policy inconsistency could further erode investor and consumer confidence.

5. Delays in Energy Transition and Local Content Targets

Many of Nigeria's solar mini-grid, wind, and hybrid projects rely on imported technology, much of it sourced from BRICS countries like China and India. If U.S. trade barriers extend or indirectly disrupt access to global supply chains, clean energy projects could become more expensive or delayed. This undermines Nigeria's Energy Transition Plan and efforts to boost local manufacturing and job creation in the green economy.

Conclusion

The 10% tariff announced by the United States in response to Nigeria's alignment with BRICS presents a serious external economic shock with wide-ranging consequences for the country's electricity sector. It exposes the vulnerability of power sector reforms to international political developments and underscores the critical intersection between foreign policy and regulatory governance. With foreign exchange pressures, rising input costs, and capital flight looming, Nigeria must act swiftly to insulate its electricity sector from the fallout.

A multi-pronged response is required, anchored on regulatory agility, macroeconomic coordination, and diplomatic engagement. Stakeholders must ensure that electricity sector planning is resilient to geopolitical disruptions and that core regulatory goals, such as cost-reflective tariffs, investor confidence, and consumer protection, are not undermined. Only through coordinated, forward-looking strategies can Nigeria navigate the complex challenges posed by the evolving global order, while safeguarding its domestic energy future.

Disclaimer

This document of the referenced country is not expected to form the basis of, or be construed as standard legal advice; nor should any of its contents and representations be strictly relied upon for any activities. Electricity Lawyer (EL) will not be liable for decisions whatsoever that are made based on the contents of the document.

